CLINTON HIGH 800 North Adiar Street Clinton, SC 29325 9-12 High School GRADES 991 Students ENROLLMENT A. Keith Bridges 864-833-0817 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Charles H. Lackey 864-833-0800 Myron (Buddy) Hunt 864-684-0304 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 11 5 3 3 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 14 out of 15 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Below Average	No
2004	Good	Average	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	71.4	N/A	N/A	72.5	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	12.8	N/A	N/A	14.3	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	15.9	N/A	N/A	13.8	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours

Percent 95.4% 95.6%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	7.9	7.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	7.9	8.1
Seniors who met the grade point average	51.7	42.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement		

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

BRADBATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	220	150
Number of Diplomas	148	112
Rate	67.3%	75.8%

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate			
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	173	95.4	178	7.9	220	67.3	YES		
Gender									
Male	86	93.0	83	7.2	106	62.3	N/A		
Female	87	97.7	95	8.4	114	71.9	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	104	96.2	105	12.4	130	71.5	N/A		
African-American	69	94.2	73	1.4	90	61.1			
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	159	97.5	156	9.0	185	76.2	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	14	71.4	22	0.0	35	20.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	171	95.3	178	7.9	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	171	95.3	178	7.9	220	67.3	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	67	92.5	71	0.0	20	0.0	N/A		
Full-pay meals	104	97.1	107	13.1	200	74.0	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GR		-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-	-,-	-
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	/ %		/	/	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
Engli All Students	sh/Langua 230	ge Arts - 8	State Per 18.6		Objective 35.0	19.5		YES	YES
Gender	230	90.7	10.0	27.0	35.0	19.5	65.5	TES	TES
Male	113	97.3	26.6	27.5	27.5	18.3	58.7	N/A	N/A
rivale Female	117	100.0	11.1	26.5	41.9	20.5	71.8	N/A N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	117	100.0	11.1	20.5	41.9	20.5	11.0	IN/A	IN/A
White	131	98.5	9.3	24.0	38.0	28.7	78.3	YES	YES
African-American	96	99.0	31.9	30.9	29.8	7.4	47.9	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	99.0 I/S	1/S	30.9 I/S	29.0 I/S	1/S	47.9 I/S	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status	U	IN//A	IN//A	IN//A	IN//A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	1/3
Not Disabled	188	99.5	8.0	28.3	40.1	23.5	74.9	N/A	N/A
Disabled	42	95.2	69.2	20.5	10.3	N/A	20.5	I/S	YES
Migrant Status	72	33.2	03.2	20.5	10.5	IN/A	20.0	1/5	1 = 0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	230	98.7	18.6	27.0	35.0	19.5	65.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	200	30.7	10.0	21.0	00.0	10.0	00.0	14/71	14//
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Non-Limited English Proficient	228	98.7	18.8	26.8	34.8	19.6	65.6	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	220	00.1	10.0	20.0	01.0	10.0	00.0	14/71	14/7
Subsidized meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/9
Full-pay meals	230	98.7	18.6	27.0	35.0	19.5	65.5	N/A	N/A
, ,	Mathemati	•	•	•	•	•			
All Students	230	98.7	25.2	34.1	23.9	16.8	49.6	YES	YES
Gender	230	30.7	23.2	34.1	23.9	10.0	43.0	ILO	IL
Male	113	97.3	29.4	30.3	24.8	15.6	49.5	N/A	N/A
Female	117	100.0	21.4	37.6	23.1	17.9	49.6	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	117	100.0	21.4	37.0	20.1	17.5	43.0	IN/A	14/7
White	131	98.5	16.3	27.9	31.0	24.8	66.7	YES	YES
African-American	96	99.0	38.3	42.6	13.8	5.3	25.5	NO	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/8
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Disability Status		14/74	14/71	14/71	14/74	14/7	14/7	1/0	1/ (
Not Disabled	188	99.5	16.0	35.3	28.3	20.3	58.3	N/A	N/A
Disabled	42	95.2	69.2	28.2	2.6	N/A	7.7	I/S	YES
Migrant Status	1					,, .		.,5	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	230	98.7	25.2	34.1	23.9	16.8	49.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency				J 1				,, .	. 4/7
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	228	98.7	25.4	33.9	23.7	17.0	49.6	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	1								,,
Subsidized meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/9
Full-pay meals	230	98.7	25.2	34.1	23.9	16.8	49.6	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 991)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	10.0% 99.5%	Down from 10.9% Up from 95.2%	9.5% 95.7%	9.1% 96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	8.5% 17.4%	Down from 8.7% Down from 18.0%	7.0% 13.9%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	9.0% 1.5%	Down from 9.6% Down from 4.7%	12.7% 0.8%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	12.7% 39.2%	Up from 3.1%	9.6% 41.9%	10.2% 53.8%
Annual dropout rate	2.9%	Up from 2.3%	2.7%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	5.3%	Up from 4.7%	5.5%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	620	Up from 586	364	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	42.8%	Down from 44.7%	18.7%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	80.7%	Up from 78.0%	73.9%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	98.7%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 60)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	60.0%	Up from 49.2%	50.0%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	96.7%	Up from 86.9%	79.7%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	92.9%	N/A	90.0%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	3.3%		9.5%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	90.0% 96.7%	Up from 88.1% Up from 96.2%	86.2% 95.1%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$42,559 10.8 days	Up 5.5% Down from 16.1 days	\$40,703 10.7 days	\$41,060 10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	22.0 28.7 to 1	Up from 21.0 Up from 28.5 to 1	4.0 23.9 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	95.1%	Up from 90.8%	89.3%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,123	Up 2.1%	\$6,757	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.0%	Up from 58.2%	58.2%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	92.0% Yes	Up from 82.0% No change	86.4% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District	St	ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	N/A	92	.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty school		88.2%		.1%
		State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school**		65.0%	Y	es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Clinton High School is proud to serve approximately 1000 ninth through twelfth grade students who reside in Laurens County School District 56. We are a comprehensive high school located in the southern part of Laurens County. During the 2003 -2004 school year Clinton High maintained its status as a Flagship School of Promise, received an "all clear" rating from the South Carolina Department of Education, and began developing plans and strategies for the upcoming study and evaluation to be conducted by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools.

Our curriculum offers courses for a wide range of students, from those with profound deficiencies to occupational to college preparatory to advanced placement to college credit courses. Our English department has become nationally known for its creative ways of implementing standards into the curriculum and staff members were presenters at the National Council of the Teachers of English conference. Because of their continuous efforts, Clinton High has been chosen as one of only a few select high schools in the state to participate in two pilot programs for the upcoming year - "Framing Best Practice - English 1 and 2."

Our school also offers programs for a variety of student interests. Our Marksmanship Team has been a state champion for seven years in a row. Our athletic teams excel on the field and court, with many of our teams advancing to the playoffs. All students have a chance to become a part of numerous clubs and activities.

Challenges which we continue to face are: (1) to continue to raise student achievement; (2) to prepare students for the rigors of the South Carolina High School Assessment Program and end-of-course tests; (3) to develop appropriate occupational and vocational programs; (4) to continue implementing programs in an aging facility; and (5) to help students be successful in and out of the classroom.

Pride, tradition, and excellence are the pillars upon which we build a foundation for our students. We are proud of the safe environment in which we work and learn. Students are encouraged to be active learners and citizens in school life. Clinton High presses on toward the goal of preparing champions in life through excellence.

Dr. A. Keith Bridges, Principal Ms. Elaine Graham, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	47	148	52					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.6%	70.3%	84.3%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.5%	74.0%	66.7%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 61.7% 82.3% 68.6%								
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.								